

**Exhibit 5:
Ninth Circuit Fee Awards**

Select Ninth Circuit Cases with 33% or Above Fee Awards		
Case	Settlement Amount	Fee Award
<i>Perez v. Rash Curtis & Assocs.</i> , No. 16-cv-03396, 2020 WL 1904533, at *15 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2020)	\$267,349,000	33½%
<i>In re Apollo Grp. Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 04-cv-02147, 2012 WL 1378677, at *7 (D. Ariz. Apr. 20, 2012)	\$145,000,000	33.33%
<i>In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 14-md-02521, 2018 WL 4620695, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 20, 2018)	\$104,750,000	33½%
<i>Meijer, Inc. v. Abbott Lab'ys.</i> , No. 07-cv-05985, 2011 WL 13392313, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 2011)	\$52,000,000	33½%
<i>Beaver v. Tarsadia Hotels</i> , No. 11-cv-01842, 2017 WL 4310707, at *12 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 28, 2017)	\$51,150,000	33½%
<i>Hageman v. AT & T Mobility LLC</i> , No. 13-cv-00050, 2015 WL 9855925, at *4 (D. Mon. Feb. 11, 2015)	\$45,000,000	33½%
<i>Carlin v. DairyAmerica, Inc.</i> , 380 F. Supp. 3d 998, 1023 (E.D. Cal. 2019)	\$40,000,000	33.33%
<i>Thomas & Thomas Rodmakers Inc. v. Newport Adhesives and Composites, Inc.</i> , No. 99-cv-07796, ECF No. 802 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2005)	\$36,250,000	33.13%
<i>In re Pub. Serv. Co. of N.M.</i> , No. 91-0536M, 1992 WL 278452, at *12 (S.D. Cal. July 28, 1992)	\$33,000,000	33.00%
<i>Bickley v. Schneider Nat'l Carriers, Inc.</i> , No. 08-cv-05806, 2016 WL 6910261, at *3-4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 13, 2016)	\$28,000,000	33½%
<i>In re Heritage Bond Litig.</i> , No. 02-ml-1475, 2005 WL 1594403, at *23 (C.D. Cal. June 10, 2005)	\$27,783,000	33.33%
<i>Wren v. RGIS Inventory Specialists</i> , No. 06-cv-05778, 2011 WL 1230826, at *29 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 1, 2011)	\$27,000,000	41.88%
<i>In re Tezos Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 17-cv-06779, ECF No. 262 (N.D. Cal. Aug 28, 2020)	\$25,000,000	33.33%
<i>Dakota Med, Inc. v. RehabCare Grp., Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-02081, 2017 WL 4180497, at *9-10 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2017)	\$25,000,000	33½%
<i>NECA-IBEW Pension Trust Fund v. Precision Castparts Corp.</i> , No. 16-cv-01756, ECF No. 169 (D. Or. May 7, 2021)	\$21,000,000	33.33%
<i>In re Banc of Cal. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 17-cv-00118, 2020 WL 1283486, at *1 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2020)	\$19,750,000	33.00%
<i>Waldbuesser v. Northrop Grumman Corp.</i> , No. 06-cv-06213, 2017 WL 9614818, at *3 (C.D. Cal. Oct 24, 2017)	\$16,750,000	33½%
<i>Morris v. Lifescan, Inc.</i> , 54 F. App'x 663, 664 (9th Cir. 2003)	\$14,800,000	33.00%
<i>In re Allied Nevada Gold Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 14-cv-00175, ECF No. 199 at 3, ECF No. 215 (D. Nev. Nov. 16, 2020)	\$14,000,000	33½%
<i>Good Morning to You Prods. Corp. v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-04460, ECF No. 370 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2016)	\$14,000,000	33.00%
<i>Tawfilis v. Allergan, Inc.</i> , No. 15-cv-00307, 2018 WL 4849716, at *7 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 27, 2018)	\$13,450,000	33.33%
<i>Kendall v. Odonate Therapeutics, Inc.</i> , No. 20-cv-01828, 2022 WL 1997530, at *6-7 (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2022)	\$12,750,000	33½%
<i>Marshall v. Northrop Grumman Corp.</i> , No. 16-cv-06794, 2020 WL 5668935, at *9 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2020)	\$12,375,000	33½%
<i>In re Pac. Enters. Sec. Litig.</i> , 47 F.3d 373, 379 (9th Cir. 1995)	\$12,000,000	33.00%
<i>Singh v. Roadrunner Intermodal Servs., LLC</i> , No. 15-cv-01497, 2019 WL 316814, at *9 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 24, 2019)	\$9,250,000	33½%

Select Ninth Circuit Cases with 33% or Above Fee Awards		
Case	Settlement Amount	Fee Award
<i>Jenson v. First Tr. Corp.</i> , No. CV 05-03124, 2008 WL 11338161, at *15 (C.D. Cal. June 9, 2008)	\$8,500,000	33½%
<i>Fernandez v. Victoria Secret Stores, LLC</i> , No. 06-cv-04149, 2008 WL 8150856, at *16 (C.D. Cal. July 21, 2008)	\$8,500,000	34.00%
<i>Vigueras v. Red Robin Inter'l, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-01422, ECF No. 182 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2020)	\$8,500,000	33.33%
<i>Jones v. CertifiedSafety, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-02229, ECF No. 232 (N.D. Cal. June 1, 2020)	\$6,000,000	33.33%
<i>Linney v. Cellular Alaska P'ship</i> , No. 96-cv-03008, 1997 WL 450064, at *7 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 1997)	\$6,000,000	33½%
<i>Boyd v. Bank of Am. Corp.</i> , No. 13-cv-00561, 2014 WL 6473804, at *9 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2014)	\$5,800,000	33½%
<i>In re Interlink Elec., Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 05-cv-08133, ECF No. 156 at 12, ECF No. 165 (C.D. Cal. June 1, 2009)	\$5,000,000	33½%
<i>Berry v. Urban Outfitters Wholesale, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-02628, ECF No. 114 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 7, 2016)	\$5,000,000	33.33%
<i>Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.</i> , No. 15-cv-00540, ECF No. 155 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2021)	\$4,800,000	33.00%
<i>Hodges v. Akeena Solar, Inc.</i> , No. 09-cv-02147, ECF No. 146 ¶ 3.1, ECF No. 167 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 15, 2011)	\$4,770,000	33½%
<i>Aguilar v. Wawona Frozen Foods</i> , No. 15-cv-00093, 2017 WL 2214936, at *6 (E.D. Cal. May 19, 2017)	\$4,500,000	33½%
<i>West v. Cal. Serv. Bureau, Inc.</i> , No. 16-cv-03124, ECF No. 128 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2019)	\$4,100,000	33.30%
<i>In re Paysign, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 2:20-CV-00553, ECF No. 63-2 ¶ 34, ECF No. 69 (D. Nev. Apr. 18, 2024)	\$3,750,000	33½%
<i>Cook v. Atossa Genetics, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-01836, ECF No. 98 (W.D. Wash. July 20, 2018)	\$3,500,000	33.00%
<i>Mathein v. Pier 1 Imps. (U.S.), Inc.</i> , No. 16-cv-00087, 2018 WL 1993727, at *8 (E.D. Cal. Apr 27, 2018)	\$3,500,000	33½%
<i>In re K12 Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 16-cv-04069, 2019 WL 3766420, at *1 (N.D. Cal. July 10, 2019)	\$3,500,000	33.00%
<i>Wise v. Ultra Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-00853, 2020 WL 1492672, at *6-7 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2020)	\$3,400,000	33½%
<i>Vandervort v. Balboa Cap. Corp.</i> , 8 F. Supp. 3d 1200, 1210 (C.D. Cal. 2014)	\$3,300,000	33.00%
<i>Antonopoulos v. N. Am. Thoroughbreds, Inc.</i> , No. 87-cv-00979, 1991 WL 427893, at *4 (S.D. Cal. May 6, 1991)	\$3,098,000	33.33%
<i>In re Mikohn Gaming Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 05-cv-1410, ECF No. 96 (D. Nev. June 12, 2007)	\$2,800,000	33.33%
<i>In re Resonant Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 15-cv-01970, ECF No. 134 ¶ 1.32, ECF No. 154 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2017)	\$2,750,000	33.00%
<i>In re 2TheMart.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 99-cv-1127, ECF No. 161 (C.D. Cal. July 8, 2002)	\$2,700,000	33½%
<i>Elliot v. China Green Agric. Inc.</i> , No. 10-cv-00648, ECF No. 166 (D. Nev. Aug. 12, 2014)	\$2,500,000	33½%

Select Ninth Circuit Cases with 33% or Above Fee Awards		
Case	Settlement Amount	Fee Award
<i>In re Merix Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 04-cv-00826, ECF No. 223 ¶ 1(f)(f), ECF No. 236 (D. Or. Jan. 3, 2011)	\$2,500,000	33.33%
<i>Brulee v. DAL Glob. Servs., LLC</i> , No. 17-cv-06433, ECF No. 51 (C.D. Cal. Dec 13, 2018)	\$2,500,000	33.33%
<i>Emmons v. Quest Diagnostics Clinical Laby's, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-00474, 2017 WL 749018, at *8-9 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2017)	\$2,350,000	33½%
<i>Cheng Jiangchen v. Rentech, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-01490, 2019 WL 5173771, at *9, *11 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 10, 2019)	\$2,050,000	33½%
<i>Yaron v. Intersect ENT, Inc.</i> , No. 19-cv-02647, ECF No. 80 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 2021)	\$1,900,000	33½%
<i>Likas v. ChinaCache Int'l Holdings Ltd.</i> , No. 19-cv-06942, ECF No. 87 at 1, ECF No. 95 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2022)	\$1,800,000	33.30%
<i>In re Mego Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , 213 F.3d 454, 463 (9th Cir. 2000)	\$1,725,000	33½%
<i>In re AudioEye, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 15-cv-00163, ECF No. 100 (D. Ariz. May 8, 2017)	\$1,525,000	33.33%